

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Dynamic Modelling of Meat Plant Energy Systems

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Technology at Massey University.

Rodger David Stephen Kallu,
Dip.Tech. (Distn) (Massey)

1993

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to develop dynamic mathematical models of the major energy use and recovery operations within the New Zealand meat industry. Ordinary differential equation based models were developed for the five most common rendering systems, for hot water use, generation and storage, and for the refrigeration system. These cover about 90% of process heat use and about two-thirds of electricity demand. Each model was constructed so that ultimately it could be linked to the others to develop an integrated energy supply and demand model. Strong linkages to product flow were developed for the rendering models, but those for hot water and refrigeration are less developed, although there is no technological impediment.

In developing the models for rendering it was assumed that cookers and dryers are perfectly mixed vessels and that time delays in materials transport are negligible. Model predictions could be improved by removing these assumptions, but taking into account the possible extent of data uncertainties, the present accuracy may be adequate for the overall meat plant energy model.

A major consequence of the development of a hot water demand model was that areas of low efficiency were identified. By attention to equipment designs for hand tool sterilisers and cleanup systems substantial heat savings are possible. Although not tested, both the model for heat recovery and the model for hot water storage and supply are expected to be accurate as few major assumptions were required in their development.

The main novel feature of the refrigeration model is that it treats the refrigeration applications in abstract terms rather than performing a room by room analysis. As a consequence data demands are lower than for refrigeration models which use a room-based approach, and the actual data needed are more easily obtainable. In spite of the lower data requirements good accuracy was demonstrated.

The models developed will have major benefits to the NZ meat industry, initially as stand-alone entities, but later as an integrated package to help in reducing energy use.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the following persons for advice and assistance during the course of this project:

Associate Professor Andrew C Cleland, Department of Biotechnology, Massey University, Chief Supervisor.

Mr Stephen R Drew, Industrial Programmes Manager, Energy Services Group, ECNZ Marketing, Wellington. Supervisor.

Dr Simon J Lovatt, Chemical Engineer, Refrigeration and Energy Section, Meat Industry Research Institute of New Zealand, Hamilton.

The author would like to thank the following for financial support which made this project possible:

Queen Elizabeth II Technicians Award Scheme
Electricity Corporation of New Zealand (ECNZ)
Auckland Farmers Freezing Company Limited (AFFCO NZ)

The author would like to thank the following persons for assistance in gathering plant data which has been used for simulation testing:

Mr Stuart Reid, Chief Engineer, AFFCO Rangiuru.

Mr Ellis Harrison, Chief Engineer, AFFCO Wairoa.

Mr Jim Cooper, Chief Engineer, Richmond Oringi.

Mr Steven Bennett, Plant Engineer, Manawatu Beef Packers, Fielding.

The author would also like to thank his wife Judy for her support and secretarial assistance throughout the project.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of figures	xii
List of tables	xvi
 Section	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 DAILY STOCK INPUT AND DISTRIBUTION WITHIN THE PLANT	6
2.1.1 Dynamic Models	6
2.1.2 Steady State Models	6
2.1.3 Useful Data	6
2.2 RENDERING SYSTEMS	7
2.2.1 Dynamic Models	7
2.2.2 Steady State Models	7
2.2.3 Useful Data	8
2.3 HOT WATER	8
2.3.1 Dynamic Models	8
2.3.2 Steady State Models	8
2.3.3 Useful Data	9
2.4 REFRIGERATION	10
2.4.1 Dynamic Models	10
2.4.2 Steady State Models	14
2.4.3. Useful Data	14
2.5 SUMMARY	15
 3. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS	16

4.	DAILY STOCK INPUT AND DISTRIBUTION	
	("GENERIC") MODEL	18
4.1	MODEL PHILOSOPHY	18
4.2	PRODUCT MOVEMENT INTO AND THROUGH THE PLANT	19
4.2.1	Departmental Event and Batch Times	21
4.2.2	Chain Speeds	21
4.3	FLOWS OF MATERIAL TO RENDERING	21
4.3.1	Mass Balances	23
4.3.2	Energy balances	30
4.4	RELATIONSHIP OF GENERIC TO THE HOT WATER MODELS	30
4.5	FLOW OF MATERIALS TO REFRIGERATION	31
4.5.1	Product Streams	31
4.5.2	Product Batches	32
4.6	MODEL IMPLEMENTATION	35
4.6.1	Rendering Section Model Testing	35
4.7	DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	36
5	RENDERING	37
5.1	CONTINUOUS DRY RENDERING	37
5.1.1	Mechanistic Process Description.	37
5.1.2	Basis of Model Development	39
5.1.3	Mass Balances	40
5.1.4	Energy Balances	44
5.1.5	Other Model Data	46
5.1.6	Model Implementation	47
5.1.7	Model Testing	48
5.1.7.1	Test data collection	48
5.1.7.2	Model customisation	49
5.1.7.3	Comparison of measured and predicted data	50

5.1.7.4	Sensitivity analysis	53
5.1.8	Discussion and Conclusions	60
5.2	BATCH DRY RENDERING	62
5.2.1	Mechanistic Process Description.	62
5.2.2	Basis of Model Development	64
5.2.3	Mass Balances	65
5.2.4	Energy Balances	67
5.2.5	Other Model Data	67
5.2.6	Model Implementation	71
5.2.7	Model Testing	72
5.2.7.1	Test data collection	72
5.2.7.2	Model customisation	72
5.2.7.3	Comparison of measured and predicted data	73
5.2.8	Discussion and Conclusions	80
5.3	LOW TEMPERATURE RENDERING (LTR) MODEL	81
5.3.1	Mechanistic Process Description	81
5.3.2	Basis of Model Development	82
5.3.2.1	Continuous conduction heating dryer	85
5.3.2.2	Iwell batch dryer	86
5.3.2.3	Rotary dryer	88
5.3.3	Low Temperature Rendering Mass Balances	88
5.3.3.1	Continuous conduction heating dryer	91
5.3.3.2	Iwell batch dryer	93
5.3.3.3	Rotary dryer	94
5.3.4	Energy Balances	95
5.3.4.1	Continuous conduction heating dryer	95
5.3.4.2	Iwell batch dryer	96
5.3.4.3	Rotary dryer	96
5.3.5	Other Model Data	98
5.3.5.1	Stord rotodisc dryer	100
5.3.5.2	Iwell batch dryer	102

5.3.5.3	Rotary dryer	103
5.3.6	Model Implementation for the LTR	104
5.3.7	Model Testing	104
5.3.7.1	Plant using continuous conduction heating dryer	104
5.3.7.2	Iwell batch dryer	109
5.3.7.3	Rotary dryer	109
5.3.8	Discussion and Conclusion	110
6	HOT WATER SYSTEMS	111
6.1	HOT WATER USAGE	111
6.1.1	Mechanistic Description	111
6.1.2	Design Philosophy	112
6.1.3	Model Development	115
6.1.3.1	Sterilisers and hosing (Figure 6.1)	115
6.1.3.2	Mass balances for sterilisers and hosing	116
6.1.3.3	Hand, apron wash and showers (Figure 6.2)	120
6.1.3.4	Mass balances for hand, apron wash and showers	120
6.1.3.5	Carcass wash (Figure 6.3)	123
6.1.4	Energy Balances	124
6.1.5	Data Required to Use the Model	124
6.1.5.1	"Good Practice" flow rates for knife sterilisers	126
6.1.5.2	"Good Practice" flow rates for hand tool sterilisers	130
6.1.5.3	"Good Practice" production run hosing regimes	134

6.1.5.4	"Good Practice" cleanup hosing regimes	135
6.1.5.5	"Good practice" hand, apron wash and shower flow rates	137
6.1.5.6	"Good Practice" carcass wash flows	143
6.1.6	Model Implementation	144
6.1.7	Model Testing	144
6.1.7.1	Model customisation	145
6.1.7.2	Model verification	147
6.1.7.3	Results	147
6.1.8	Discussion	149
6.2	HOT WATER GENERATION AND STORAGE SYSTEMS	151
6.2.1	Mechanistic Description	151
6.2.2	Design Philosophy	152
6.2.2.1	Tank 1	154
6.2.2.2	Tank 2	154
6.2.2.3	Spillover arrangements	154
6.2.2.4	Tank 3 and Tank 4	155
6.2.2.5	Mixing options associated with water supply at temperature T_{pu_2}	155
6.2.2.6	Mixing options associated with Tanks 3 & 4	156
6.2.2.7	Heat exchangers	156
6.2.2.8	Recovered heat flows	157
6.2.2.9	Model control	158
6.2.3	Model Development - Mass Balances	158
6.2.4	Model Development - Energy Balances	159
6.2.5	Proportional Mixing Controls	162
6.2.6	Model Implementation	163
6.2.7	Model Testing	164
6.2.8	Model Application	166
6.2.9	Results and Discussion	169

6.3	HEAT RECOVERY SYSTEMS	175
6.3.1	Mechanistic Process Description	175
6.3.2	Rendering Recovery	175
6.3.2.1	Direct fired dryer	177
6.3.2.2	Other rendering heat recovery units	179
6.3.2.3	Steam condensate heat recovery	180
6.3.2.4	Drain heat recovery	182
6.3.2.5	Refrigeration heat recovery	185
6.3.2.6	Thermodynamic variables	190
6.3.2.7	Mass and energy balances	193
6.3.3	Model Implementation and Testing	195
6.3.4	Results and Conclusions	195
7	REFRIGERATION	198
7.1	MECHANISTIC DESCRIPTION	198
7.2	DESIGN PHILOSOPHY	201
7.2.1	General Philosophy	201
7.2.2	Modelling Periods	204
7.3	MODEL DEVELOPMENT - PRODUCT HEAT LOAD	207
7.3.1	Algorithms for Cooling, Freezing and Subcooling of Product	207
7.3.2	Data Required by the Model for Each Batch	207
7.3.2.1	Batch control data	207
7.3.2.2	Product thermal and geometric properties	210
7.3.2.3	Product surface heat transfer coefficients	212
7.4	MODEL DEVELOPMENT - PRODUCT RELATED HEAT LOADS	214
7.4.1	Fan Power	214
7.4.1.1	Air velocity and pressure drop considerations	215

7.4.1.2	Area for air flow over the product	219
7.4.1.3	Other data	222
7.4.2	Insulation Heat Gains	222
7.4.2.1	Determination of floor area and A_{ext} for carcass freezers and chillers	224
7.4.2.2	Determination of floor area and A_{ext} for carton freezers and chillers	225
7.4.3	Door and Associated Heat Loads	225
7.4.4	Hot Water Hosing	231
7.4.5	Other Loads	232
7.4.6	Electrical Load	232
7.5	BASE LOADS	232
7.5.1	Cold and Cool Stores	233
7.5.1.1	Insulation heat load	234
7.5.1.2	Air interchange	235
7.5.1.3	Fan power	238
7.5.1.4	Lights	239
7.5.1.5	Other loads	239
7.5.1.6	Electrical loads	240
7.5.2	Air-Conditioning Baseload	240
7.5.2.1	Insulation	242
7.5.2.2	Air interchange	242
7.5.2.3	Personnel	243
7.5.2.4	Lights	243
7.5.2.5	Machinery	243
7.5.2.6	Hot water use during production	244
7.5.2.7	Hot water use during clean-up	245
7.5.2.8	Fan power	245
7.5.2.9	Room precooling	246
7.5.2.10	Other loads	246

7.5.2.11	Electrical loads	246
7.6	ENGINEEROOM ENERGY USE	247
7.6.1	Pots and Temperatures	247
7.6.2	Compressor Electrical Energy Use	249
7.6.3	Engineroom Ancillary Energy Use	251
7.6.4	Link to Hot Water Recovery	251
7.7	TOTAL ELECTRICAL REFRIGERATION LOAD	251
7.8	MODEL IMPLEMENTATION	252
7.8.1	Data Inputs	252
7.8.2	Main Program Outputs	253
7.8.3	Secondary PASCAL Program	254
7.9	MODEL TESTING	255
7.9.1	Mathematical Verification	255
7.9.2	Measurements Collected at the Host Plant	255
7.9.2.1	Product data	255
7.9.2.2	Engine room and other electrical loads	256
7.9.2.3	Batch data preparation	257
7.9.2.4	Engineroom data processing	257
7.9.2.5	Other data	258
7.9.3	Comparison of Measured and Prepared Data	258
7.10	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	261
8	DISCUSSION	263
8.1	INTRODUCTION	263
8.2	RENDERING	263
8.3	HOT WATER	264
8.4	REFRIGERATION	264
9	CONCLUSIONS	265
	NOMENCLATURE	266
	REFERENCES	280

APPENDICES

A

GENERIC

- A1 Generic Model Listing

B

RENDERING

- B1 Continuous Dry Rendering Model Listing
 - B1.1 Rangiuru Data
- B2 Batch Dry Rendering Model Listing
- B3 Low Temperature Rendering Model Listings
- B4 Low Temperature Rendering Rotary Listing

C

HOT WATER SYSTEMS

- C1 Hot Water Usage Model Listing
- C2 Hot Water Generation and Storage Systems Model Listing

D

REFRIGERATION

- D1 Product Load Model Listing (ESL)
- D2 Product Heat Load Model Listing
 - D2.1 Product User Data File
 - D2.2 Product Standard Data File
 - D2.3 Product Output Data File #2
- D3 Engineroom Model Listing
 - D3.1 Engineroom User Data File
 - D3.2 Engineroom Output Data File #2

List of Figures

- 4.1: Schematic diagram of the generic model for rendering.
- 4.2: Product flows to the refrigeration model.
- 5.1: Sectioned Keith Cooker.
- 5.2: Schematic diagram of Keith cooker model.
- 5.3: Plot of A: Steam usage, Q_d (kg/s), B: Evaporated moisture, W (kg/s), and C: Meal temperature T_{in} ($^{\circ}$ C). Keith cooker at AFFCO Rangiuru. Run 1.
- 5.4: Keith cooker at AFFCO Rangiuru. Run 2.
- 5.5: Keith cooker at AFFCO Rangiuru. Run 3.
- 5.6: Keith cooker at AFFCO Rangiuru. Run 4.
- 5.7: Keith cooker at AFFCO Rangiuru. Run 5.
- 5.8: Keith cooker at AFFCO Rangiuru. Run 6.
- 5.9: Sectioned Batch Cooker.
- 5.10: Schematic diagram of the batch cooker model.
- 5.11: Plot of overall heat transfer coefficients.
- 5.14: Plot of heat transfer coefficients.
- 5.12: Plot of A: Steam usage, Q_d (kg/s), B: Evaporated moisture, W (kg/s), and C: Meal temperature T_{in} ($^{\circ}$ C). Batch cooker studied by Herbert and Norgate (1971). Run 20. Equation (5.27) & (5.28).
- 5.13: Plot of D: Moisture content of the wet material. Batch cooker studied by Herbert and Norgate (1971). Run 20. Equation (5.27) & (5.28).
- 5.15: Plot of A: Steam usage, Q_d (kg/s), B: Evaporated moisture, W (kg/s), and C: Meal temperature T_{in} ($^{\circ}$ C).
Batch cooker studied by Herbert and Norgate (1971). Run 20. Equation (5.29).
- 5.16: Plot of D: Moisture content of the wet material.
Batch cooker studied by Herbert and Norgate (1971). Run 20. Equation (5.29).
- 5.17: Schematic diagram of general low temperature rendering model.
- 5.18: Sectioned view of the continuous conduction heating dryer.

- 5.19: Sectioned view of a direct fired rotary dryer .
- 5.20: Schematic diagram of continuous conduction heating.
- 5.21: Schematic diagram of direct fired rotary dryer.
- 5.22: Schematic diagram of the two compartment model. for the continuous conduction heating dryer.
- 5.23: Plot of simulated results vs time for a LTR system using a continuous conduction heating dryer. A.B.C.
- 5.24: Plot of simulated results vs time for a LTR system using a continuous conduction heating dryer. D.E.
- 6.1: Schematic diagram of steriliser and hosing flow
- 6.2: Schematic diagram of hand, apron and shower flows.
- 6.3: Schematic diagram illustrating carcass wash flows.
- 6.4: Sectioned view of A: Hand wash steriliser and B: Apron wash steriliser.
- 6.5: A: Traditional hand wash basin. B: Apron wash
- 6.6: A: Plot of cumulative daily hot water usage.
B: Plot of cumulative daily warm water usage.
- 6.7: Schematic diagram of hot water storage and generation
- 6.8: A: Plot of daily cold water makeup requirement.
B: Plot of daily total heat requirement.
- 6.9: A: Plot of Tank 1 contents.
B: Plot of Tank 2 contents.
- 6.10: Schematic diagram of a rendering heat recovery system.
- 6.11: Schematic diagram of a steam condensate heat recovery.
- 6.12: Schematic diagram of a drain heat recovery system
- 6.13: Schematic diagram of a refrigeration heat recovery system.
- 6.14: Mollier diagram for Ammonia (R717) showing various enthalpy points for refrigeration heat recovery.
- 6.15: Plot of A: Steam usage and predicted recovered hot water from the steam condensate heat recovery unit.
- 7.1: Schematic diagram of possible product flow pathways through a meat plant cold chain.

- 7.2: Schematic diagram of a two stage compression refrigeration system.
- 7.3: Schematic diagram of good practice refrigeration system model philosophy.
- 7.4: Typical beef batch product load over 96 hours.
- 7.5: Batch product load divided into four daily loads.
- 7.6: Batch product load summed to give Thursday's load arising from periods (i) to (iv).
- 7.7: Details of air flow and stow in a typical carton chiller or freezer.
A: (RoomType = C) B: (RoomType = S)
- 7.8: Two plan views of a prime carcass showing the cross sectional area, equivalent cross-sectional area and free space.
- 7.9(A) Engineroom electrical load (kW) vs time (hours); 1 - predicted data, 2 -measured data (Wednesday).
- 7.10(B) Engineroom electrical load (kW) vs time (hours); 1 - predicted data, 2 -measured data (Thursday).

List of Tables

4.1:	Typical average product masses derived from Oldfield (1987).	33
5.1:	Keith cooker data.	43
5.2:	Keith batch cooker data.	68
5.3:	Effect of fat content on meal exit temperature (°C) at three moisture content endpoints.	101
5.4:	Data for the performance of Stord dryers.	101
5.5:	Data for the performance of a Flo Dry dryer.	103
5.6:	Comparison of predicted results with measured data.	110
6.1:	Knife steriliser flows (D_{inst}) measured at three plants by Peacham (1993).	127
6.2:	Knife steriliser flows measured by the author in the beef kill area of the test plant.	127
6.3:	Beef boning room steriliser flows measured by the author.	127
6.4:	Data measured by the author for beef kill area hand tool sterilisers and hoses.	131
6.5:	Hand wash flow rates as measured on three plants by Peacham (1993).	140
6.6:	Beef kill area data for apron, hand wash and showers measured by the author.	140
6.7:	Beef boning area data for apron washes and showers measured by the author.	143
6.8:	Apron wash flows as measured on three plants by Peacham (1993).	143
6.9:	Carcass wash data as measured by Peacham (1993).	144
6.10:	Postulated possible beef kill area hand tool steriliser and hose flows.	146

6.11:	Predicted and measured cumulative water flows per shift.	147
6.12:	Flow rates to various heat exchangers and temperature of flows out.	167
6.13:	Daily requirements for cold water and heat.	169
6.14:	Tank levels and sizing.	170
7.1:	Typical batch data for five step changes.	207
7.2:	Product thermal and geometric properties.	211
7.3:	Postulated air pressure differentials for various room types and duties.	218
7.4	Main program user-friendly output file 20 hours into a simulation.	253
7.5	Engineroom user-friendly output file 20 hours into a simulation.	255